

Benchmarking of German Electricity DSOs

Experiences and Lessons Learned from RP2 to RP4

Dr. Urs Trinkner

BNetzA scientific dialogue

24th September 2024



From RP2 to RP4

RP2: 94.7% (final report February 2014)

Swiss Economics / SUMICSID

11 parameters for 182 DSOs

1 **Connections** (norming parameter in SFA)

1 Meters

5 **Cables/Lines**

2 **Peakload**

1 Decentralized generation capacity

1 **Surface** [red: mandatory]

Core topics

- Find meaningful model with mandatory parameters
- Reflect energy transition
- “City effect”, labor conditions, East/West

Changes related to “principal of prudence”

- + Exponential inefficiency assumption in SFA instead of half-normal
- + Cooks Distance cutoff threshold of $4/(n-p-1)$

RP3: 94.1% (final report April 2019)

Swiss Economics / SUMICSID with IAEW

9 parameters for 198 DSOs

1 Meters (norming parameter in SFA)

4 Cables/Lines

2 Peakload

2 Generation capacity

Core topics

- No mandatory parameters
- Impact of energy transition (resulting in additional data collection)
- Application of priority list of parameters
- Outlier routines (no change)

Changes related to “principal of prudence”

- No mandatory parameters
- DEA CRS
- + Efficiency Bonus
- + Partial publication of data

RP4: 95.9% (final report April 2024)

Swiss Economics / SUMICSID with IAEW

9 parameters for 194 DSOs

1 Meters (norming parameter in SFA)

3 Cables/Lines

2 Peakload

3 Generation capacity

Core topics

- Definition of data request
- Impact of energy transition
- Technical Blocks: More meaningful base models
- DSOs without concession area (BGH)

Changes related to “principal of prudence”

- + Full publication of data
- + Significance of SFA relaxed to 90%
- + Upscaling of SFA

Principle of prudence and remaining trade-offs

Rather extensive principle or prudence

- Set of variables not restricted to purely exogenous / output-oriented ones, e.g. length of cables/lines
- Selection criteria:
 - High minimal and average efficiency rated good,
 - Consistency to earlier benchmarks (model, results)
- Model development: extensive model search, purely statistical bottoms-up procedure
- Technical:
 - SFA: exponential assumption of inefficiency, requirement of significance of SFA relaxed to 90%, rather low Cooks Distance threshold, upscaling of scores, no strict avoidance of multicollinearity
 - Outliers are always excluded, also when no data error
- Second-Stage-Analysis, peer analysis (aim to avoid peers with high number of peer-count)
- Efficiency scores:
 - Minimal value of 60%, best-of-four instead of averages: Best-of-two of DEA and SFA, best-of-two of Totex and sTotex
 - Efficiency bonus

Important remaining trade-offs for modelers

- ARegV requirements on parameters / variables:
 - Not determined by decisions of operator
 - Not wholly or partially repetitive in their effect, not already represented by other parameters
 - Must be selected using qualitative, analytical or statistical methods that correspond to the state of the art.
 - same selection of parameters for DEA and SFA
- Selection criteria:
 - Cost drivers must make conceptual sense
 - Significant coefficients, positive signs
 - Significance of SFA (somewhat relaxed)
 - Completeness vs. try to limit multicollinearity
 - Ensure correlation of DEA and SFA scores
 - Consistent number of outliers

→ **Trade-offs are important to avoid data mining exercise**

Achieved improvements, room for further improvement

	Achieved Improvements	Room for improvement
Data definition and collection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Involvement of consultants and stakeholders in data definition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yearly collection
Data validation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Publication of data, involvement of stakeholders • More checks, more methods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Validations based on yearly data • Consequences of incorrect deliveries by DSOs
Parameter development and cost driver analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New and more refined parameters to reflect the energy transition • Introduction of priorities (RP3) • Definition of “technical blocks” that each covers one supply task (RP4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Model net analysis of cost drivers
Model development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (LASSO) • Top-down procedure based on priorities (RP3) • Technical blocks procedure in RP4 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further refine technical blocks
Frontier modelling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More extensive model search in RP4 • Possibility to propose specific models for stakeholders in RP4 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dynamic / panel models
Validation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exhaustive second-stage analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Differentiate second-stage analysis between parameters where we care and where not

Thank you for your attention!

Dr. Urs Trinkner, Managing Director

urs.trinkner@swiss-economics.ch, +41 79 830 14 32

Swiss Economics, Ottikerstrasse 7, CH-8006 Zürich

www.swiss-economics.ch

